TRANSMITTAL FORM  (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)  Application Number 6056-000040  Filing Date 1/8/02  First Named Inventor Norbert Taufenbach  Art Unit 2828  Examiner Name James A. Menefee					
FINING Date 1/8/02  First Named Inventor Norbert Taufenbach  Art Unit 2828					
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)  First Named Inventor Norbert Taufenbach  Art Unit 2828					
Art Unit 2828					
Everpiner Name James A Manetee					
Examiner Name James A. Meneree					
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 6 Attorney Docket Number 6056-000040					
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)					
Fee Transmittal Form  Drawing(s)  After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)					
Fee Attached  Licensing-related Papers  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences					
Amendment / Reply  Petition  Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)					
After Final Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Proprietary Information					
Affidavits/declaration(s)  Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address  Status Letter					
Extension of Time Request  Terminal Disclaimer  Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):					
Express Abandonment Request    Request for Refund   Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; Issue   CD, Number of CD(s)   Fee Transmittal Form.					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement					
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)  Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit					
Account No. 08-0750. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.  Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application					
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm or Individual name  Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C. Individual name  Attorney Name Joseph R. Papp  Attorney Name 20115					
Signature Scale (					
Date December 3, 2004					
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING					

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name	Joseph R. Papp	¥ /	Express Mail Label No.	EV 570 163 551 US (12/3/2004)
Signature	XNY	-N131-	Date	December 3, 2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.





## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Norbert Taufenbach

Serial No.

09/831,698

Filed:

January 8, 2002

Group:

1725

Examiner:

James A. Menefee

For:

C<sub>02</sub> SLAB LASER

Mail Stop PCT Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, VA 22313-1450

## <u>COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE</u>

Sir:

Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear [the Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104 (e). In the present case, Applicant believes the record as a whole makes clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted, especially since the statement may unfairly focus on certain reasons for allowance which are not reflected by the prosecution history. Therefore, the record should reflect that Applicant does not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. For example, while Applicant believes the claims are allowable, Applicant may not unequivocally agree that patentability resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or

combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the reasons for allowance do not separately address the subject matter of all the claims, Applicant does not acquiesce to any inference that the non-addressed claims fail to present other reasons for patentability apart from the patentability of the claims which were specifically addressed by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: December 3, 2004

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

JRP/cvjk

Serial No. 09/831,698

Page 2 of 2